

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

116

**CRM-M-19268-2020 (O & M)
Date of Decision: July 17, 2020**

ANURADHA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 29.06.2020 whereby respondent No.2 has been granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that serious allegations amounting to offence under Section 376 IPC had been levelled against respondent No.2 and the trial Court without recording any reasons had granted the anticipatory bail to respondent No.2.

Heard.

Respondent No.2 is the mother of the petitioner. Allegations against respondent No.2 are that she had been pressurizing the petitioner to have physical relations with another person.

I have perused the impugned order. It has been recorded in the order that there is delay of over a year in registering the FIR. Reference has also been made to the letter dated 10.10.2019 which was sent by the petitioner wherein there was no allegation of conspiracy against her mother (respondent No.2) for her sexual exploitation at the hands of other persons. The main

accused Sat Narayan has already been arrested and is in custody. Respondent No.2 (mother of the petitioner) is 51 years old lady.

In view of the above, I do not find any good ground to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 especially during the Covid 19 Pandemic.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 17, 2020
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No