

201-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.19093 of 2020
Date of Decision: 27.10.2020

DHARMINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs
STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Bikram Tikka, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.76 dated 19.04.2020 registered under Sections 323, 341, 427, 452, 188, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Sahnewal, Ludhiana.

On 17.07.2020, following order was passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

"The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic."

*Counsel for the petitioner submits that in a fight which ensued as a result of the constant barking of the pitbull belonging to the petitioner, the petitioner had also sustained injuries. He has placed reliance on the medical report, Annexures P-2 and P-3 to fortify this fact. His further submission is that the allegations against the petitioner are that he was carrying a licenced revolver and had raised lalkara to beat the complainant. Reliance has been placed by him on the order dated 09.07.2020 passed by this Court in CRM-M-17925-2020, **Jagroop Singh Vs. State of Punjab**, whereby interim bail was granted to the co-accused.*

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Dhuriwala, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is available through video conferencing accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

Upon instructions of ASI Upinder Jit, State counsel submits that after the incident, unfortunately, the complainant committed suicide and a separate FIR under Section 306 of IPC has been lodged against the petitioner and two other accused.

Adjourned to 26.08.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M-17925-2020.”

Thereafter, vide order dated 26.08.2020, petitioner was

again directed to join the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid orders, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Kulwinder Singh admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim orders dated 17.07.2020 and 26.08.2020 are made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

October 27, 2020
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No