

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
119 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CWP-9942-2020

Date of decision: 16.07.2020

SATYAWATI AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

**Present: Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court praying for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to count the ad-hoc service rendered by them and grant them the benefit thereof in the light of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 4271 of 2015 titled as Usha Wadhwa vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 14.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) (2020 (1) SCT 231). Assertion has also been made that the claim of the petitioners is fully covered by the said decision.

The school, where the petitioners have been earlier serving prior to their retirement, has all through been communicating with the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana. It is when no benefit was granted to the petitioners, they have again submitted a representation dated 05.12.2019 (Annexure P-6) to the Director Secondary Education, Haryana-respondent No. 2 as also the Principal, D.A.V. Girls Sr. Sec. School, Karan

Talab, Karnal-respondent No. 4 but without any response. He contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation dated 05.12.2019 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioners within some specified time.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation dated 05.12.2019 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioners within a period of three months from today. The decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

In case the claim of the petitioners is found to be correct, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to them within a further period of one month.

July 16, 2020
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No