

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19365 of 2020 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: August 26, 2020

Lovejit Singh alias Lovely and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 186 dated 25.04.2020, registered under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148, 149, 506 of Indian Penal Code (offence under Sections 326, 188, 269, 270, IPC and Section 51(B) of Disaster Management Act, 2005 added later on) at Police Station Jhabal, District Tarn Taran.

2. Counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners

herein have been falsely implicated in the present case, as the allegations as set out in the FIR are not sustainable. It is argued that the FIR was filed after a delay of 05 days, which is also not explained by the complainant. It is submitted that the bail application of the petitioners before the Court below has been wrongly dismissed by the Addl. Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran and that there is no other case against the petitioners.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Appearance has been caused on behalf of the respondent-State as well as complainant.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State as well as complainant would submit that the injuries inflicted by the petitioners are grievous in nature as they entered the house of family members of the complainant with deadly weapons causing grievous injuries to them and if released on bail, they may cause threat to the affected persons.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the pleadings of the case as well as the reply filed by the respondent-State.

7. After perusal of the FIR it is clear that the petitioners herein along with other co-accused entered the house of the complainant-who is a 65 year old woman with deadly weapons i.e datar and kirpan, which are yet to be recovered from the petitioners. Admittedly, the injuries attributed to the petitioners herein are grievous in nature and the recoveries are yet to be made from the petitioners, this Court is of the view that the release of the petitioners herein would endanger the life of the victims/affect the

witnesses. Under these circumstances, once custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required for a result oriented investigation, this Court is not inclined to intervene in the present matter by not granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the instant petition is hereby dismissed.

August 26, 2020

*Seema*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes  
Yes/No