

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

**CWP No.10350 of 2020
Date of decision:21.07.2020**

Sub Inspector Krishana Devi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr.Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL J. (ORAL)

This matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to Covid-19 Pandemic.

Instant petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to promote the petitioner to the post of Inspector at par with her junior who has already been promoted as an Inspector. A further prayer has been made for directing the respondents to grant annual increment to the petitioner which is due since 2015.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the seniority of the petitioner as ASI was fixed and she was at serial no. 1 in the list of lady ASIs'. Persons junior to her in the list have already been promoted whereas her case for promotion is pending. He submits that the petitioner has been working as a sub-Inspector since 1994 and was confirmed w.e.f.

31.01.2003 and her case for promotion to the post of

Sub-Inspector and for grant of increments has not been considered.

Counsel submits that the petitioner has submitted a comprehensive representation dated 01.08.2019, Annexure P-5, to the Director General of Police, Haryana, but the same has not been attended to.

Counsel submits, that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the concerned authority to examine the claim of the petitioner as raised by her in representation dated 01.08.2019, Annexure P-5, within a specified time frame.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, DAG, Haryana, who is present through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Counsel for the State does not oppose the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner for decision of the representation.

Without going into the question of the entitlement of the petitioner and without considering the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner in the present petition or in the representation, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation dated 01.08.2019, Annexure P-5, by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

21.07.2020

pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No