

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP No.18876 of 2020

Date of decision:16.7.2020

Happy Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and ors

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr.Paras Jagga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No. 1 to 4 to hand over the investigation of the case to some independent investigating agency.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has incorporated incriminating facts in para No.2 of the petition. He further submits that the photographs, pen drive and C.C.T.V. footage are available in the context of the incident, where the petitioner was apprehended at 11.28 a.m. In the FIR the time of apprehension of the petitioner is stated to be

5.38 pm. Petitioner has already espoused his grievance by way of representation dated 26.5.2020 (Annexure P-4) before the D.I.G., Patiala Range, Patiala- respondent No.2, but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr.A.P.S.Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take note of the allegations contained in the representation dated 26.5.2020 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same in accordance with law preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein above.

July 16 , 2020

anita

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No