

(Proceedings conducted through Video Conference)

CRM-M- 4828 of 2020

Date of Decision: 16.7.2020

Harsimran @ Harsimran Bali and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners**

Rekha Mittal, J.

By invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners pray for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 for protection of their life and liberty.

The allegations raised by the petitioners are that they performed legal/valid marriage but against wishes of private respondents No. 4 and 5 at whose behest they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Heard.

Without going into the question of validity or otherwise of alleged marriage of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Nawanshahar, SBS Nagar to look into grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate action, if any, desired in the given circumstances.

**(Rekha Mittal)
Judge**

16.7.2020

PARAMJIT Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No