

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19004 of 2020  
Date of Decision:-14.08.2020**

Jagjit Singh @ Vicky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Additional A.G., Punjab.

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)**

The present second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the present petitioner for grant of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.103 dated 02.10.2019, under Sections 419, 420, 406, 482, 465 and 475 of IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset stated that the co-accused in the present case, namely, Bhupinder Singh has been granted bail by this Court in CRM-M-15086 of 2020 vide order dated 30.06.2020. He has further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 02.10.2019 and that after completion of investigation and after filing of challan, the matter has been fixed for recording of prosecution evidence for 02.10.2020. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for grant of bail to

the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has very fairly submitted that the co-accused, namely, Bhupinder Singh was granted bail is in parity with the present petitioner. He has also not disputed the custody period of the petitioner. However, he has submitted that the petitioner was involved in case(s) under Sections 420 and 406 IPC and therefore he does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties through video conferencing.

After going through the case file and in view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, I am of the considered opinion that the present petitioner may also be enlarged on bail.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner shall be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bond/surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

August 14, 2020  
Vijay Asija

**( JASGURPREET SINGH PURI )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes / No