

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.110

**CWP No.10551 of 2019
DECIDED ON: January 16, 2020**

HARVINDER SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER, REVENUE, PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Once again a request for adjournment has been made on the ground that the arguing counsel Mr. Sanjiv Gupta is in personal difficulty.

2. On the previous date of hearing i.e. 07.11.2019, last opportunity was granted to the learned counsel for the petitioner to comply with the order dated 18.07.2019 subject to payment of costs of ₹1,000/- with the High Court Legal Services Committee.

3. There is nothing on record to indicate that the costs imposed have been paid. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not submitted any receipt in Court as well. Moreover, the information sought for vide order dated 18.07.2019 is not forthcoming.

CWP No.10551 of 2019

4. It is thus evident that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing his writ petition. The writ petition was first listed for preliminary hearing on 24.04.2019 and since then, it has been adjourned on five occasions.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 16, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No