

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(204)

CRM-M-18800-2020

Date of Decision: September 14, 2020

Sudeep @ Kala

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vashishth, Advocate, and
Mr. Aakash Vashishth, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Safia Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not pressing his claim for the grant of bail but he prays that the proceedings before the Magistrate be expedited so that, the trial is concluded expeditiously as petitioner is suffering prejudice due to the pendency of the proceedings before the Magistrate as the charges are yet to be framed and 12 adjournments have already been granted.

As far as the grievance raised by the petitioner regarding the delay is concerned, the same is due to the pandemic of Covid-19. Not only the petitioner but a large number of people are suffering due to the current situation, the Courts below are trying to do whatever best is possible in this situation.

This Court is certain that whatever expeditious steps are possible by the Magistrate, the same will be taken for expeditious conducting of the trial.

As the petitioner is not pressing this petition for the grant of bail at this stage, the same is allowed to be withdrawn.

September 14, 2020
harsha/naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No