

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18705-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 19, 2020**

JAGDISH SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep P. Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 81 dated 24.06.2020, under Sections 353, 186, 332, 427, 341, 379, 148, 149 IPC, Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.

Contentions on behalf of the petitioners, noted while issuing notice of motion on 15.07.2020 read as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioners as well as the complainant belong to the same village and the petitioners have been falsely implicated due to factionalism in the village. No specific injury has been attributed to any of the petitioners. Moreover, the alleged injuries on the person of Major Singh are simple in nature. The petitioners, it is submitted, are not involved in any other criminal case.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that apart from the aforesaid, the matter has been amicably resolved with the complainant and the misunderstandings due to which the above said FIR was registered, have been removed. It is thus prayed that this petition be allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Hardev Singh, verifies that the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 15.07.2020 is made absolute. Needless to say, the petitioners shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

August 19, 2020
Sunil

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No