

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.18828 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.08.2020

SHANKAR SINGH & ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Manku, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press for his prayer for regular bail on behalf of petitioner No.1 namely Shankar Singh.

This petition is dismissed qua petitioner No.1-Shankar Singh.

Petitioner No.2-Mangal Singh seeks grant of regular

bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.07 dated 31.01.2020, registered under Sections 307/341/323/324/148/149 IPC at Police Station Fattudhinga, District Kapurthala.

Petitioner No.2 is alleged to have inflicted non-specific injuries along with others in the second occurrence, when the complainant party reached at the Chowk, where Shankar Singh armed with sword, Mangal Singh (petitioner No.2) armed with sickle, Dharam Singh armed with baton, Lakhwinder Singh Bhandari and Swaran Singh empty handed along with son of Shankar Singh namely Bagga Singh armed with sickle and one Padda armed with sword came there and started causing injuries to the husband of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner No.2 except to allege that all the aforesaid persons inflicted lot of injuries to the husband of the complainant. Petitioner No.2 is in custody since 01.02.2020.

Per contra, learned State counsel duly assisted by learned counsel for the complainant submitted that the challan has been presented but charges have not been framed so far.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case and in view of the aforesaid position and also owing to the

situation arising out due to pandemic COVID-19, I deem it appropriate to enlarge petitioner No.2 on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner No.2 is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

August 04, 2020
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No