

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-21237-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 13.08.2020

SUNIL KUMAR @ SEELA AND ANR

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Rai, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in FIR No.1304 dated 27.12.2019, under Sections 307, 34, 120-B and 452 IPC (Section 452 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are not named in the FIR. He further states that they have been arraigned as an accused on the statement of the co-accused. The allegations are that co-accused namely Rahul and Lovepreet had fired shots at the injured and the complainant. He also states that no overt act is attributed to the petitioners. They have been accused of only conspiring with the co-accused. There is no motive which is attributed to the petitioners and they are in custody for over 7 months.

Learned State counsel contends that challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners, especially when the petitioners are in custody for over 7 months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

13.08.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No