

Baljinder @ Chhotu

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 945 dated 24.09.2018 registered under Section 42 of Prisons Act, 1894 (Section 42-A of Prisons Act, 1894 added later on) at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that charges have not yet been framed, thus, the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date. The petitioner may, accordingly, be granted concession of regular bail.

Custody certificate dated 20.08.2020 has been presented in the Court. The same is taken on record. According to this custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 1 year, 4 months and 13 days.

It is evident that the petitioner has been in custody for a period exceeding one year. It is also evident that the trial is still at its initial stages. Keeping in view the custody period already undergone by the petitioner as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to

be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the

satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

August 21, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No