

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.4740 of 2020 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 13.07.2020**

Majid & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI.

Present:- Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate for
Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 13.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

The petitioners, namely, Majid and Sanjida who claim themselves to be major have filed the present petition *inter alia* praying for protection of their life and liberty since they approached threat to the same having married against the wishes of their parents and relatives.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in this regard, a detailed representation (Annexure P-5) was submitted to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat).

Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 13 submits that the petitioner No. 2 had actually married on 16.02.2020 with Arif. He further submits that there is no threat perception to the petitioners from the private respondents No. 4 to 13. Learned counsel however submits that the second marriage is however not legal.

While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned with above representation with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by the Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat) (respondent No.2), expeditiously in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat) (respondent No.2), so as to enable the said authority to do the needful expeditiously.

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

13.07.2020
 jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether reportable : YES/NO