

101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(Through Video Conferencing)

CM-10194-CII-2020 in/and
COC-1286-2020
Date of Decision: December 16, 2020

Surender Bhati

.....Petitioners

Versus

Parveen Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.S.S.Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Randhir Singh Manhas, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The main case is also taken up on Board today itself for hearing
alongwith the application.

The contempt is filed for non-compliance of the order dated
27.01.2020 vide which a direction was issued to the respondent-Bank to
decide the representation by passing a speaking order within a period of
three weeks.

In fact, the petitioner himself has placed on record, Annexure
P9, vide which a speaking order has been passed. Accordingly, no
contempt is made out.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in

spite of the speaking order, the articles have not been supplied whereas it is specifically stated in the speaking order that the petitioners may come and collect the articles.

This Court has no doubt that both the parties shall coordinate with each other in handing over articles and the petitioner will accordingly collect the same.

CM-10194-CII-2020

In view of the above, order dated 17.11.2020, vide which the applicant-respondent has been summoned throughailable warrants, is recalled.

Application stands disposed of.

December 16, 2020
meenuss

**(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |