

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRWP-4736-2020

Date of decision: 13.07.2020

Puneet Rajpal and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.P. Ratra, DAG,Punjab.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioners-Puneet Rajpal and Khushwinder, stated to be aged 20 & 30 years, have filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for directing respondents No.2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 and not to interfere in their married life.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in this regard, a representation (Annexure P-4) was submitted to Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar (respondent No.2).

While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is **disposed of**

with a direction that in case, the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned, with above representation (Annexure P-4) with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar (respondent No.2), expeditiously in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar (respondent No.2), so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

13.07.2020
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No