

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.208

CRM-M-18437-2020
Date of decision : 30.7.2020

Sukhpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.72 dated 12.9.2019, registered at Women Police Station, District Fatehabad, under Sections 120-B, 323, 344, 365, 376 (2)(N), 376(D), 506 IPC (Sections 363 and 366 IPC subsequently added).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prosecutrix is a major and she lived with the petitioner for 11 months before registration of the FIR. Thus, the relationship was patently consensual. The petitioner has been in custody since 30.9.2019 and the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as no prosecution witness has been examined as yet. Thus, the petitioner deserves to be granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel is unable to controvert the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date,

I deem it appropriate to allow this petition. Accordingly, the petition is

allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

30.7.2020
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No