

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CRWP-4698-2020

Date of decision: 17.08.2020

Sukhmandeep and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Ranjit Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner along with petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Walia, Asstt. A.G., Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 20 and 31 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Mr. Mikhail Kad, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 and undertakes to file his power of attorney in the registry.

As per office report, notice to respondent No.6 could not be issued for want of process fee and nobody had turned up to collect dasti notice for service of respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has filed copy of

divorce petition filed by petitioner No.2 against his wife through e-mail print out of which is taken on record.

In compliance with order dated 13.07.2020, status report by way of affidavit of Satpal Sharma, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sangrur has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 through e-mail print out of which is taken on record.

In the status report, it has been mentioned that in view of the statements of the petitioners that they have no apprehension of danger at the hands of the private respondents now, no action is required to be taken.

The petitioners are also present through video conferencing and have stated that they do not require any further action to be taken on their petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the petitioners have submitted that the petitioners do not want to continue with the present petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Dismissed as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

17.08.2020
Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No