

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-PIL No. 83 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 14.07.2020

Lal Bahadur Khowal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.

Present:- Mr. S.K.Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

With the consent of the parties, we have heard learned counsel for the parties through video conferencing (Webex App software).

Identical issue was considered by this Court in CWP-PIL No. 74 of 2020 *Sumati Jund v. Union Territory, Chandigarh and others* decided on 03.07.2020, wherein steps taken by the States of Punjab and Haryana and Union Territory, Chandigarh for the purposes of providing access to adequate and affordable mental health care services, promote proactive mental health initiatives and training for mental health care by professionals etc. were taken up and disposed of.

In the light of the orders passed by this Court in CWP-PIL No. 74 of 2020 *Sumati Jund v. Union Territory, Chandigarh and others* decided on 03.07.2020, we do not find any reason to entertain the present petition on identical grounds.

We accordingly dispose of the petition in similar terms as held in CWP-PIL No. 74 of 2020 *Sumati Jund v. Union Territory, Chandigarh and others* decided on 03.07.2020. However, we further grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities by filing suggestions or bringing to their notice the difficulties being faced.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State of Haryana submits that in case such a representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be dealt with and if necessary, corrective measures, if any, so required would be taken.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

14.07.2020
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No