

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.213

CRM-M-18411-2020

Date of decision: 25.11.2020

Vijay and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

On the arrest of petitioner No.4 - Sonu @ Gurpal Singh, the present petition qua him had been dismissed as infructuous and therefore, the instant order is being passed qua petitioners No.1 to 3.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. petitioners No.1 to 3 seek the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.34 dated 15.06.2020 registered under Sections 452, 323, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Dhilwan, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for petitioners No.1 to 3 submits that petitioners No.1 to 3 have been falsely implicated in this case; there is unexplained delay of 08 days in lodging of the FIR; the alleged injuries are simple in nature; the matter between the parties has been compromised and therefore the chances of the petitioners' conviction is bleak and that under

the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioners have not only joined the investigation they have also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh submits that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioners have not only joined the investigation they have also fully co-operated with the investigating agency and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Keeping in view the totality of the above noticed facts especially the statement made by the learned State counsel that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 13.07.2020 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

November 25, 2020
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No