

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9680 of 2020

Date of Decision: 13.07.2020

NEELAM AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have preferred this writ petition for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus to allot the permanent place of posting to them as PRT Teachers according to their options given in respect of Districts.

Petitioner No.1 has preferred Jind, Rohtak, Kaithal, Karnal, Faridabad and Gurugram as Districts in accordance with her preference. Petitioner No.2 has preferred Jind, Rohtak, Sonipat, Kaithal, Panipat and Hisar as Districts according to her preference. Petitioner No.3 has given Jind, Hisar, Bhiwani,

Kaithal, Sirsa and Fatehabad as districts of her preference. Similarly, petitioner No.4 has preferred Kaithal, Kurukshetra, Jind, Ambala, Panchkula and Hisar as districts of her preference.

The grievance of the petitioners is that petitioner No.1 has been assigned Yamuna Nagar as place of posting. Petitioner No.2 has been given District Kaithal as place of posting. Petitioner No.3 has been given District Kaithal as place of posting and petitioner No.4 has been given District Sirsa as place of posting. Petitioners No.1 and 2 have been assigned the place of posting beyond the options given by them.

In nutshell the petitioners seek transfer to their home districts on the ground that juniors to the petitioners have already been transferred to their home districts by ignoring the seniors.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners places reliance upon the order dated 02.11.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No.31823 of 2019 vide which the representations filed by the petitioners therein was ordered to be decided within time bound manner. Learned counsel confines his prayer only to the extent of getting the representations dated 01.07.2020 and 02.07.2020 (Annexures P-9 to P-12) decided within time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order which this Courts proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response from the State as no order prejudicial to the interests of anyone is being passed.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2/competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioners arising out of the representations dated 01.07.2020 and 02.07.2020 (Annexures P-9 to P-12) and decide the same by passing speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 13, 2020
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No