

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRM-M No.20993 of 2020
Date of Decision: 30.7.2020**

PARMINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. K.S.Bal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner and the complainant are in gold business. The allegations are that the petitioner took gold from the complainant vide two bills i.e. bill dated 8.6.2019 weighing 1582 grams having value of Rs.26,03,620/- and bill dated 7.9.2019, weighing 2043 grams having value of Rs.67,29,020/-. Gold worth more than Rs.1,11,00,000/- was taken by the petitioner and he only paid an amount of Rs.11,35,000/-. Petitioner issued different cheques for different amounts. Those cheques on presentation have been dishonoured , for which complaints

under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act are pending. Petitioner has seriously disputed the validity of bills dated 8.6.2019 and 7.9.2019 and claimed the same to be forged. On the other hand, he himself has attached some invoices in the context of billing.

In view of above allegations, huge amount is involved. Transactions between the parties is an admitted fact. In order to extract relevant information from the petitioner, his custodial interrogation is required.

No ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

July 30, 2020
anita

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No