

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9575-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:13.07.2020**

Rajeev Verma and ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Sandeep Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Learned Senior Counsel submits that the State of Haryana had taken a decision that all Assistant Engineers who have completed 12 years of service and come within 20% of the cadre strength are entitled to the scale of Rs.4100-5300/-. The State Government the year 1998 revised the scales and all engineers who were in the selection grade of Rs.4100-5300/- were granted the revised scale of Rs.12000-16500/-.

It has been averred that even though the petitioners herein were entitled to the selection grade of Rs.4100-5300/- in the year 1996 but their claim was not finalized by the Government till the year 2006. It is only in February 2006 that the petitioners were granted selection grade on different dates.

Grievance raised is that instead of granting the revised scale of Rs.13500-17250/- corresponding to the old selection grade of Rs.4100-5300/- , petitioner have been granted the scale of Rs.12000-16500/-.

It is the categoric contention raised by Senior Counsel that certain other employees who raised identical grievance approached this Court and their writ petitions have been allowed and such judgment of the Writ Court stands affirmed upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Learned Senior counsel submits that he would be satisfied if the writ petition were to be disposed of at this stage with a direction to the competent authority to take a decision to the representation that has already been submitted.

Submission advanced by counsel is found to be just and reasonable.

In view of the above and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition and without opining on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to examine the claim of the petitioners against the backdrop of a final demand notice dated 13.05.2020 (Annexure P-8) and to take a final decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Suffice it to observe that in case the petitioners are held entitled to the relief sought, the consequential financial benefits would be released to them without any further delay.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

July 13, 2020

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No