

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

CRWP-4664-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.07.2020

Lovekiran Kaur and anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court by invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking protection of their life and liberty as they are apprehending threat at the behest of respondents No.4 and 5.

Counsel for the petitioners would argue that even though petitioner No.1 has not attained the age of majority and six months less than 18 years of age at the time of performance of marriage with petitioner No.2 on 07.07.2020, neither the private respondents can be allowed to take law in their hands nor they can cause danger to life and liberty of the petitioners. It is further argued that in similar circumstances, this Court has allowed protection to life and liberty of a married couple while deciding CRWP No.4496 of 2020 on 07.07.2020.

Taking into consideration the allegations raised in the petition but without commenting upon the aspect as to what would be the implications in law of petitioner No.1 performing marriage with petitioner No.2 even before attaining the age of majority, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners make a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, the authority concerned would look into grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate action warranted in the circumstances, in accordance with law.

17.07.2020

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether reportable:

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No