

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-18441 of 2020

Date of Decision: July 13, 2020

Chandan and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have been accused of murdering the son of the complainant. According to the FIR, on the night of the incident, the complainant saw the petitioners escaping from his house alongwith some unidentifiable persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that complainant has not seen the petitioners committing the murder. This is evident from the FIR as the statement therein is that on hearing the gun shots, the complainant came down and found his son dead and the petitioners escaping from the house. Thus, false implication can not be ruled out. The motive given in the FIR that the petitioners were having an illicit relationship with the daughter of the nephew of the complainant does not appear to be plausible.

The petitioners are accused of having murdered the son of the complainant. Their antecedents are not good as is evident from the order of the Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar. They are hardened criminals and deserve to be viewed seriously. It is also not the case of the petitioners that they were not known to the complainant's family and, thus, to discount their involvement in the

crime at this stage is not prudent.

Consequently, I see no ground to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

July 13, 2020
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No