

205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1267 of 2020
Date of Decision : 26.08.2020

Pyara Lal Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

V.S. Aswathy and another

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma Nabhewala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harmanjit Singh Jugait, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G. Punjab with
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab for respondent-State.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that speaking order granting benefits to the petitioner, for which, he was found entitled keeping in view the directions given by this Court in CWP No.4519 of 2009, has already been passed on 31.07.2020 and the same has already been sent to respondent No.1 for the compliance so that the actual benefits are released to the petitioner forthwith.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the order dated 31.07.2020 granting the petitioner benefits, for which, he was found entitled keeping in view the order dated 02.08.2016 passed by this Court in

CWP No.4519 of 2009 was received in the month of August, 2020 but, due to the pandemic of Covid-19, the calculations of arrears/amount, for which, the petitioner is entitled for, could not be carried out. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that all the calculations will be done by the respondent No.1 forthwith and all the arrears, for which, the petitioner is found entitled, will also be released to him by 30.09.2020. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 further submits that while releasing the pension to the petitioner for the month of August, the deduction will not be effected and the petitioner will be released full pension as directed by this Court while deciding CWP No.4519 of 2009, decided on 02.08.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and he does not wish to press this contempt petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

Disposed of as having been not pressed.

It is made clear that in case, any failure of the respondents to adhere to the undertaking recorded above is brought to the notice of this Court, a serious view will be taken.

August 26, 2020
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No