

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.9491 of 2020
Date of Decision:17.07.2020**

M/s Datar Security Services Group ...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: - Mr. Dilraj Singh Bhinder, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioner has challenged the tender notice dated
26.02.2020.

In brief, the Punjab and Sind Bank issued a tender notice
for inviting sealed tenders under two bid system (technical bid and
financial bid) for engagement of private security agency for providing
Ex-serviceman armed/unarmed guard for branches/ATMs under
Panchkula zone. The last date for submission of applications was
27.03.2020, which was extended upto 05.06.2020 vide addendum no.5
dated 19.05.2020. It is stated that the technical bid was opened on
05.06.2020 and the financial bid was opened on 18.06.2020 at 4.00 pm.
However, the financial bid of the petitioner was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the power exercised by respondent no.2 in terms of the tender notice was arbitrary and Clause 9 of the tender notice was vague.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the available record, we are of the considered opinion that the contention raised by the petitioner is totally without any merit as nothing has been found to be arbitrary or vague in the tender notice. The financial bid of the petitioner has been found more than the L1, therefore, the respondents have rightly allotted the contract to respondent no.4.

No other point has been raised.

In view of the above, we do not find any merit in the present petition and hence, the same is hereby dismissed, though without any order as to costs.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

July 17, 2020
Vinod*

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No