

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**203**

**CWP-9751-2020**

Date of decision : 25.09.2020.

Ankit Nain

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondent

**CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Vibhu Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)**

Heard through video conferencing.

Learned State counsel contends that as the duration of the impugned order dated 16.06.2020/17.06.2020 (Annexure P-1) has expired, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that this petition has indeed been rendered infructuous but the question of law be kept open to be decided in an appropriate case.

The petition is disposed of as infructuous as the duration of the impugned order has expired. However, the question of law is left open.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE**

**September 25, 2020**

sonia gugnani

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |