

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9589-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:13.07.2020**

Sujata

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner who is serving on the post of Supervisor under the Women and Child Development Department, State of Haryana has filed the instant petition assailing his transfer from Raipur Rani to Saha, District Ambala.

It is the contention raised by counsel for the petitioner that the impugned transfer order is in violation of the Transfer Policy framed by the State Government. Counsel has fairly brought to the notice of this Court that in the Transfer Policy itself, there is a provision for an aggrieved employee to make a representation. It is submitted that a representation dated 19.06.2020 (Annexure P-10) raising all objections against the transfer has been made but till date no decision thereupon has been taken.

Notice of motion confined at this stage to respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Kirti Singh, learned DAG, Haryana joins proceedings, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and 2.

A complete copy of the writ petition already stands furnished.

Learned State counsel states that the representation dated 19.06.2020 (Annexure P-10) has been received and would be considered and dealt with in accordance with law.

In the light of such statement suffered by learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be passed in the instant writ petition.

Disposed of.

13.07.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |