

**206+210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M-18294-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.09.2020

Ravi @ NimbuPetitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

2. CRM-M-22610-2020 (O&M)

PankajPetitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

3. CRM-M-27235-2020

PawanPetitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-18294-2020 and
CRM-M-27235-2020).

Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-22610-2020).

Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the instant case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

CRM-22529-2020

Being in compliance of earlier order dated 27.08.2020 passed by this Court, the present application is allowed and documents annexed therewith, are taken on record.

CRM stands disposed off.

Main Case

Since, the above detailed all regular bail applications, under Section 439 of the accused/petitioners, Ravi @ Nimbu, Pankaj and Pawan, have arisen in a case bearing same FIR No.55 dated 20.09.2019 under Sections 379-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and also charge-sheeted for the offence under Section 325 of IPC registered at Police Station GRP Jind, District GRP Ambala and thus, on account of consanguinity of facts and law, are being taken up and disposed off together by this common order.

The brief facts from the submission of the two sides are that on 19.09.2019, while the complainant-Sonu was present at the railway station for going somewhere, a young boy snatched his mobile phone and the complainant ran after him. It is, subsequently, thereto, the said person called his accomplices and all assaulted the complainant giving him grievous injuries leading to the registration

of the present case in which, Pankaj and Pawan, were arrested on 09.12.2019, whereas, Ravi @ Nimbu was arrested on 16.01.2020.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* submitted that the petitioners are behind the bars for almost 09 months and that the parties have settled their dispute as it was purely a personal fight between the two sides and has placed reliance on the affidavit of the complainant, placed on the file vide CRM No.22529 of 2020.

The learned State counsel though does not displace the facts but has opposed the grant of bail on the grounds of heinousness of the crime and nature of the injuries received by the complainant.

Appreciating the submissions, keeping in view the period of incarceration and the prevalent COVID-19 pandemic, whereby, States are making frantic efforts to decongest the jails so as to save the lives of the people from the pandemic, the arguments put forth that on account of this pandemic, there is every likelihood that the investigation and trial are likely to take much time.

In view of the same, without adverting on to the merits of the case, all the above regular bail applications are allowed. Accordingly, petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

24.09.2020

Neha

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No