

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9474-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.07.2020**

Geeta Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made to quash the order dated 15.05.2018, passed by respondent No.3, vide which Veena Kumari, respondent No.4 has been given benefits qua the estate of late Sh. Ajay Kumar, treating her as his wife without taking into consideration the mandate of law.

Heard at length.

The matter requires appreciation of evidence which cannot be appreciated in a writ petition.

Learned counsel prays for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to the petitioner to file civil suit for redressal of her grievance.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

The trial court, while deciding the matter in dispute, would take into consideration Annexure P-7 on merit.

08.07.2020
mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No