

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.18116 of 2020
Date of Decision: 28.07.2020**

RAHUL RANA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.248 dated 23.11.2017, registered under Sections 307, 326, 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Division No.6, District Ludhiana.

As per allegations in the FIR, Buta gave a datar blow on the head of complainant Harmanjit Singh, which hit on the left side of his head. Thereafter all the assailants started giving blows with their respective weapons i.e. datar and baseball to

the complainant and the complainant received injuries on his person. Names of the assailants i.e. Rahul Rana, Kashish and Raja Oberoi came to be known later on by the complainant.

Sagar filed CRM-M No.14727 of 2018 in the High Court. Vide order dated 20.04.2018, he has been granted regular bail. Similarly co-accused Raja Oberoi @ Satmit Singh also filed CRM-M No.25805 of 2018 and vide order dated 19.06.2018 he has also been granted regular bail by the High Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks parity with the aforesaid co-accused as no overt act has been attributed to the petitioner. Petitioner is in custody since 06.06.2019.

Learned State counsel admitted the aforesaid factual position of the case that two of the co-accused have been granted regular bail by the High Court. He further submitted that three prosecution witnesses have been examined. Owing to the situation arising out of pandemic COVID-19 disposal of the case before the trial Court may take some time in its culmination.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case, I am of the view that petitioner can be enlarged on bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing

adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

July 28, 2020	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
<i>Atik</i>	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No