

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(Proceedings conducted through Video Conference)

Date of Decision: 9.7.2020

CWP No. 9523 of 2020

Seema Sherawat and others

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

---Respondents

CWP No. 9524 of 2020

Neeta Kumari and others

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

---Respondents

CWP No. 9529 of 2020

Meenu

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

---Respondents

CWP No. 9535 of 2020

Suman

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners
in CWP Nos. 9523, 9524 and 9529 of 2020
Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No. 9535 of 2020**

Rekha Mittal, J.

This order will dispose of CWP No. 9523, 9524, 9529 and 9535 of 2020 as identical questions of law are involved for adjudication.

Counsel for the petitioners would argue that petitioners have not been given posting in the district(s) of their choice whereas persons lower in merit than the petitioners have been given posting in the district(s) in respect whereof option was given by the petitioners. He would further submit that persons who filed writ petitions before this Court expressing the same grievance were allowed posting at the district(s) of their choice while disposing of their representation in pursuance of directions issued by this Court in **Monika Kumari and others vs. State of Haryana and another CWP No. 31823 of 2019 decided on 2.11.2019**. He further contends that similar directions for deciding representation(s) made by similarly situated persons have been issued in cases **Ramkesh vs. State of Haryana and another CWP No. 8101 of 2020 decided on 16.6.2020**, **Devender Singh Yadav and others vs. State of Haryana and another CWP No. 9322 of 2020 decided on 7.7.2020** and **Jyoti Rani and others vs. State of Haryana and another CWP No. 9450 of 2020 decided on 8.7.2020**.

Without going into merits and demerits of claim of the petitioners, the petitions stand disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider representations dated 4.3.2020, 13.2.2020 and 5.2.2020 in CWP Nos. 9523, 9524 and 9529 of 2020 respectively and legal notice dated 14.4.2020 in CWP No. 9535 of 2020, keeping in view availability of post(s) in the district(s) in respect whereof option was given by the

petitioners and the fact that persons lower in merit to the petitioners have been posted at the places given in the option, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall pass a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and taking into consideration judicial precedents, relevant in the context.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

9.7.2020

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No