

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9450 of 2020
Date of decision: 08.07.2020.**

Jyoti Rani and others Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus to the respondents to allocate them district for posting as PRT Teacher in terms of their merit.

Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that several candidates, who are lower in merit than the petitioners, have been allocated the district for which the petitioners had already given option by ignoring the claim of the petitioners. He also contends that the respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioners in terms of their merit and the option furnished by them. He has referred to the representation dated 16.03.2020 (Annexure P7) sent to the Director, Elementary Education, Haryana (respondent No. 2), but no action has been taken thereon. He has also referred to the copy of the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.

9322 of 2020 titled 'Devender Singh Yadav and others versus State of Haryana and another' whereby a Coordinate Bench of this Court, on 07.07.2020, disposed of the petition by directing the Director, Elementary Education Department, Haryana, to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners therein.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Sharan Sethi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2, i.e. the Director, Elementary Education Department, Haryana, to consider and decide representation dated 16.03.2020 (Annexure P7) of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order by affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and taking into consideration the judicial precedents. In case of non-consideration of the claim of the petitioners within the time stipulated, cost amounting to ₹5,000/- shall be payable to the petitioners by respondent No. 2.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

08.07.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No