

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4586-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.07.2020

Intjar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Januha, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus and for appointment of the Warrant Officer.

It is claimed that certain detenues referred to in para 3 of the petition are being forced to work against their wishes at a Brick Kiln. In the criminal writ petition, name and place of the Brick Kiln where these alleged detenues are working, has not been disclosed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to memo of parties to assert that the alleged detenues are at Dagar Brick Kiln, situated in Village Rohna, Tehsil Kharkhoda, District Sonipat, Haryana.

As per the provision of the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976, the District Magistrate of the concerned District is the appropriate authority

specifically authorised under the Act to examine such complaints.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioner is relegated to the remedy before the District Magistrate, Sonipat. However, if an application is submitted before the District Magistrate, the same shall be disposed of within 15 days thereafter.

Accordingly, the present criminal writ petition stands disposed of.

06.07.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No