

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

202-A

CRM-M-18132 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.12.2020

Amjad Khan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. P. S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Amjad Khan** in case F.I.R. No.21 dated 16.02.2020 under Sections 308, 323, 341, 379-B and 506 IPC read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station City II, Malerkotla, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1).

Reply dated 09.12.2020 by way of affidavit of Pawanjit, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Malerkotla, District Sangrur along with annexures on behalf of respondent-State filed and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further submits that alleged injuries on the person of injured-Mohammad Arshad, attributed to the petitioner, are simple in nature and that too by means of

blunt weapon. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 05.06.2020 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further submits that challan has already been presented in the Court. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 05.06.2020; that trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner- **Amjad Khan** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Sangrur, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

14.12.2020
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No