

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 18174 of 2020
Date of decision: 13.07.2020

Rishi Pal @ Rishi Kant

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Nara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anil Kumar Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

After arguing the matter for some time, finding that the Court is not inclined to grant the concession of bail, noticing the fact that the petitioner is a married person, who is alleging love affair with the complainant, a fact which is disputed and denied by the complainant in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court and the trial Court be directed to decide the regular bail application of the petitioner expeditiously.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

In case the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court within a period of 01 week from today and apply for regular bail, the trial Court will dispose of the regular bail application of the petitioner within a period of 03 days thereafter.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

13.07.2020

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No