

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.18029 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.09.2020

Sandeep Singh @ Seepu

..... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**Harnaresh Singh Gill, J. (Oral)**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Custody certificate by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent, Central Jail, Bathinda and reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-1, Bathinda have been filed through e-mail. Copy of the same are taken on record.

The prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 43 dated 21.02.2019 under Section 22 of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the alleged recovery from the petitioner was in a transparent bag and he never tried to hide the intoxicating tablets. He also relies upon the judgment passed by this Court in CRM-M-8035-2019 titled as 'Mandir Singh Vs. State of Punjab' decided on 28.02.2019.

The learned State counsel has pointed out that the recovery effected from the petitioner is 1050 tablets of Tramadol Hydrochloride and the FSL report has authenticated the same. It has further been stated that the challan has been presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, the recovery effected in the present case falls in commercial quantity. Section 37 of the NDPS Act bars the grant of bail to the accused in commercial quantity.

In view of the above, I do not find any merit in the present petition, accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

**14<sup>th</sup> September, 2020**

Sonia Puri

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No