

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19700-2019

Date of decision:25.11.2020

Satyawan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate and
Ms. Rajni Paul, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.694 dated 18.11.2018, registered at Police Station Safidon, District Jind, under Section 306 IPC (Sections 302,120-B,34 IPC deleted and Section 306 IPC added).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that initially the FIR was registered against the petitioner along with co-accused Kala, Mithu, Rekha and Jyoti under Sections 302,120-B,34 IPC, but during investigation the petitioner along with co-accused were found innocent and they were not challaned and Sections 302,120-B,34 IPC were deleted by the police. The FIR has been registered only against the petitioner under Section 306 IPC. The petitioner was arrested on 20.11.2018 and since then, he has been in custody.

He further states that the petitioner has falsely been involved in the present case. There was no allegation of harassment or demand of

dowry in the past. The petitioner has no role to play as he never instigated the deceased for committing suicide. The investigation is already complete and challan has already been filed. The petitioner has two children and they are hand to mouth.

Learned State counsel, while opposes the prayer for grant of bail, points out that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he demanded Rs.5000/- from the deceased. The said demand of Rs.5000/- had been fulfilled by the complainant. Thereafter, the petitioner started demanding motor-cycle from the deceased. The petitioner had given beatings to the deceased and there were nine injuries on her person. The deceased had died at her matrimonial home.

Keeping in view the gravity of offence, this Court finds that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, the chances of tampering with the evidence or winning over the witnesses, cannot be ruled out.

No case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

25.11.2020

ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No