

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCp-1256 of 2020

Date of Decision : 09.07.2020

Satish Kumar Batra

...Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Bhupinder Pal Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petition has been taken for hearing through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic.

In the present contempt petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that order dated 28.11.2019 (Annexure P/1) passed by this Court in CWP No.34614 of 2019 has been violated by the respondents while passing speaking order dated 11.05.2020 (Annexure P/2), hence, they be proceeded against under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentionally and willfully disobeying the order passed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that this Court vide order dated 28.11.2019 (Annexure P/1) had directed the respondents that in case, the petitioner is found entitled for pensionary benefits, the same be released to him within a period of three months but, the respondents while passing speaking order dated 11.05.2020 (Annexure P/2) have stated that the payment will be made to the petitioner in eight equal installments and have not adhered to the time period granted by this Court for releasing the

payments. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that this is a deliberate and intentional violation of the order passed by this Court.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G. Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that she has instructions from the respondent-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Abohar that though, in the speaking order dated 11.05.2020, it has been mentioned that payment will be made to the petitioner in eight equal installments but, now the same will be released to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioner with regard to the release of pensionary benefits stands redressed and he does not press the present contempt petition any further.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as the petitioner is aggrieved against the speaking order for non-grant of interest, he will avail an appropriate remedy in this regard.

The present contempt petition stands disposed of as having been not pressed.

It is made clear that the respondents will be bound by their statement recorded above.

July 09, 2020
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No