

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

116

**CRM-M-17982-2020 (O & M)
Date of Decision: November 03, 2020**

PARAMJIT KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bikramjit Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.46 dated 01.02.2020, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is willing to settle the matter and he may be released on bail for arranging the money. The allegations against the petitioner, who is a police officer, are that he has entered into an agreement with the complainant for a house which was on mortgage and had produced a fake NOC.

The first petition for regular bail of the petitioner had been dismissed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court on 23.06.2020 in CRM-M-14237-2020. Thereafter this petition was preferred and the Coordinate Bench of this Court after recording the statement of the counsel for the petitioner for

repayment of ₹40 lacs to the complainant as compensation to redress his grievance or to execute the sale deed of the property in question, had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to issue production warrant of the petitioner to take him to his bank to withdraw the money by the order dated 08.07.2020.

It has been stated in the reply filed by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, North, Amritsar that when the petitioner was brought on production warrant and produced before the Court of JMIC, Amritsar on 08.07.2020 for withdrawal of money from the bank, he had recorded his statement that he is not having any amount in his locker or bank account. Therefore, this Court would be reluctant to accept the statement of the counsel for the petitioner that petitioner may be released on interim bail for arranging money as keeping in view his previous conduct, bona fides of the petitioner are in doubt.

Consequently, in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner and the factum of dismissal of earlier bail petition, I do not find it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 03, 2020
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No