

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9408 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 8.7.2020

Surinder Kumar Goel

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

The sole grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is that respondent No.2 is not deciding his detailed representation dated 8.11.2019, which was made by him in pursuance of the order dated 31.10.2019 passed by respondent No. 1. It is submitted that though the petitioner has sent reminders dated 16.1.2020, 1.2.2020 and 21.6.2020 to respondent No.2 to decide the matter in terms of the order dated 31.10.2011, but still respondent No.2 is not passing any speaking order and is rather sitting over the file for the reasons best known to him.

In view of the fact that by order dated 31.10.2019, respondent No.2 has already been directed to decide the matter afresh and pass a well reasoned and speaking order, at this stage, this petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8.7.2020
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No