

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(106)

COCF No. 1258 of 2020

Date of Decision : 09.07.2020

Jaswinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Kamal Kumar Garg

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Virinder K. Shukla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petition has been taken for hearing through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic.

In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioners is that the respondent be proceeded against under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentionally and willfully disobeying the order dated 09.07.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 2442 of 2019 titled as ***Jaswinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others.***

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that direction was issued to the respondent to decide the legal notice dated 21.05.2018 within a period of three months, which direction has not been complied with so far and hence, respondent has intentionally and willfully disobeyed the order passed by this Court making him liable to be proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Deepali Puri, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent and submits that the order dated 09.07.2019, the violation of which is being alleged by the petitioners, has already been complied with by the respondent and a speaking order has already been passed on 06.07.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that no order has been supplied to the petitioners, which has been passed in pursuance to the direction given by this Court.

Learned State counsel has supplied the copy of the order dated 06.07.2020 passed by the respondent by sending the same on Whats App number of the counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that keeping in view the speaking order passed by the respondent, petitioners does not press this contempt petition any further and the petition may be disposed of as such.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that petitioners be granted liberty to avail appropriate remedy, in case petitioners are aggrieved against the speaking order in any manner.

The present contempt petition is disposed of having not been pressed with liberty as prayed for.

July 09, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*