

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4542-2020

Date of Decision: 27.07.2020

Sweety and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 9 as they have solemnized marriage on 17.07.2019 against their wishes.

On 07.07.2020, State counsel was directed to find out the existence of alleged threat.

Today learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Tahir Hussain, Deputy Supdt. of Police, Narwana, District Jind on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, wherein it is mentioned that private respondent Nos.4 to 9 are not objecting to the alleged matrimonial alliance of the petitioners. Their statements are also appended with the status report (Annexures R-1 to R-6).

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petition is rendered infructuous.

The petition is disposed off as such.

27.07.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No