

202-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 17873 of 2020
Date of Decision: 16.07.2020

Sonu @ Satnam Singh

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Rehan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.63 dated 28.07.2019 registered under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of Indian Penal Code (Section 326 IPC added subsequently) at Police Station Kotli Surat Mallian, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

On 09.07.2020, following order was passed:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that originally the FIR was registered under Sections 323, 324 and 34 IPC. The

offences were bailable in nature. Subsequently, offence under Section 326 IPC was added and the subsequent enlargement in the culpability would give right in favour of the petitioner to seek anticipatory bail for the enhanced offence. Co-accused Pinder @ Jaspinder Singh has been granted anticipatory bail by the High Court in CRM-M No.13911 of 2020 vide order dated 11.6.2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr.J.P.Ratra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice.

List again on 16.7.2020.

Interim order in the same terms as passed in CRM-M No.13911 of 2020.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh states that in pursuance of aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required in further investigation of the case.

In view of above, order dated 09.07.2020 is hereby made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Officer.

July 16, 2020
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No