

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9397 of 2020

Date of Decision: 08.07.2020

Parveen Kumar and others

-Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioners have preferred this petition for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to allot District Rohtak to the petitioners for the post of Primary Teacher instead of their present posting in different Districts.

Grievance of the petitioners is that the candidates who are lower in merit have been accommodated in District Rohtak by ignoring the claim of the petitioners.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioners have made a representation to respondents No.1 and 2 on 21.02.2020 (Annexure P-3) with all necessary particulars of the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any response as no order prejudicial to the interest of anyone is being passed.

Evidently, advertisement was issued by the Erstwhile Haryana Teachers Selection Board, Panchkula (presently Haryana Staff Selection Commission) on 08.11.2012 inviting applications for filling up 8763 posts of Primary Teachers/JBT teachers. Petitioners applied for the post. Appointments were offered in the year 2017 in different Districts other than District Rohtak. The post was a District Cadre post.

In the light of grievance made in the representation, it would be just and appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition without meaning anything on the merits of the case, with a direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to consider the claim of the petitioners in view of their representation dated 21.02.2020 in accordance with law and take final view of the matter at the earliest, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners shall supply two sets of complete paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

July 08, 2020

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)

JUDGE

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |