

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
108 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

CWP-9317-2020

Date of decision: 07.07.2020

ASI SHRI NIWAS NO. 35/JJR

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

**Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that challenging the impugned order dated 27.02.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani, District Bhiwani-respondent No. 4, an appeal was preferred before the Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, Rohtak-respondent No. 3 which appeal has also been rejected on 19.06.2019 (Annexure P-2). These two orders have been assailed by the petitioner by preferring a revision petition dated 17.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) to the Director General of Police, Haryana-respondent No. 2 but till date, no decision thereon has been taken. He contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Director General of Police, Haryana-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the same at an early date.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner.

The present petition is disposed of with directions to the Director General of Police, Haryana-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the revision petition dated 17.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) within a period of two months from today by passing a speaking order. The decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

July 07, 2020

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No