

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4544-2020

Date of decision-21.07.2020

Komal and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Satnam Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.4, 6 and 7.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 8 as they have solemnized marriage on 02.07.2020 against their wishes.

On 07.07.2020, the following order was passed:-

“Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana, is directed to find out the existence of alleged threat to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to forward complete copy of the petition to the Advocate General, Haryana office through email during the course of the day.”

In compliance of the order dated 07.07.2020, reply by way of an affidavit of Anil Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Naraingarh, District-Ambala on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has been filed and the same is taken on record. In the reply, it is stated that on the complaint moved by respondent No.4, an FIR No.140 dated 30.06.2020 under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC already stands registered at Police Station Shahzadpur, District Ambala. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.4, 6 and 7 does not dispute this fact that actually the case has been registered.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners does not press the instant petition and wishes to withdraw the same.

The prayer is accepted.

The petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

21.07.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No