

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 17744 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 21.09.2020

Anil Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. S.S. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 223 dated 22.09.2019, registered under Section 66(B) of the Information Technology Act, 2000 and Sections 120-B and 420 IPC at Police Station Badhra, District Charkhi Dadri.

The operative part of the order dated 07.07.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR; challan has been presented and that co-accused, Jitender has already been granted ad interim pre-arrest bail, vide order dated 04.02.2020 passed in CRM-M-4906-2020 by this Court.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, and submits that the petitioner has been

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

indicted in the present case on the disclosure statement suffered by co-accused, which was recorded on 11.10.2019, but somehow, the petitioner could not be arrested. However, he does not dispute the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Adjourned to 21.09.2020....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 07.07.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from MHC Sombir, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 07.07.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

21.09.2020

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No